Lo

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 9324 of 1999

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE R.R.TRIPATHI

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

DILIP NAMDEV PATIL

Versus

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE

Appearance:

MR PC KAVINA for Petitioners

RULE SERVED BY DS for Respondent No. 1, 3

MR SP HASURKAR for Respondent No. 2

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE R.R.TRIPATHI

Date of decision: 08/03/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

1. Mr.Kavina, appears for the petitioner. It is submitted that pursuant to the order passed on 12.1.2000, the appeals filed by the petitioner are disposed of. Mr.Digant Joshi, learned A.G.P. for the respondent place

on record a fax message, wherein it is stated that the appeals filed by the petitioner are disposed of prior to 16.2.2000 as directed by this court. It is also stated in the said fax message that the orders passed against the petitioners are cancelled, and it is also stated in the said fax message that the orders allowing them to resume duty are passed on 21.2.2000. Mr.Kavina submits that the authority has not decided anything about the back wages of the petitioners for intervening period. It is submitted by Shri Digant Joshi, A.G.P. on behalf of the authority that order regarding back wages will be passed taking sympathetic view of the matter in accordance with law. In this view of the matter rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent, only, no order as to costs.

kks